

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

				a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	
APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/663,593 09/18/2000		09/18/2000	Raymond Van Roijen	PHN 17,638	4051
24737	7590	12/02/2003		EXAM	IINER
PHILIPS IN P.O. BOX 30		CTUAL PROPER	DICKEY, THOMAS L		
		R, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2826	

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/663,593	VAN ROIJEN ET AL.			
Examin r	Art Unit			
Thomas L Dickey	2826			

	Thomas L Dickey	2826	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence	address

- A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
- THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1,135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH's from the mailing date of his communication. If the period for reply specified above its less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the manufum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH's from the mailing date of his communication. If NO period to reply is specified above, the manufum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the communication of the property of the period of the perio						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 02 October 20	<u>203</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This action is	s non-final.			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 6,8 and 9 is/are pending	in the application.				
4	(a) Of the above claim(s) is	are withdrawn from co	onsideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ (Claim(s) 6 and 8 is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to rest	triction and/or election	requirement.			
Application	on Papers					
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by t	the Examiner.				
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e: a) □ accepted or b) □	objected to by the Examiner.			
			be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)⊠ T	he proposed drawing correction file	iled on <u>22 May 2002</u> is	a)⊠ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are	required in reply to this C	office action.			
12) 🗌 T	he oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[∑	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of	f:				
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:						
S. Palent and Tra	demark Office					

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed 01 October 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Isohata et al. (JP 10321842 A).

Isohata et al. discloses a semiconductor device comprising a semiconductor body having a **first region 8a** of a first conductivity (n) type and, **adjoining thereto**, a second region 1 of the second, opposite (p), conductivity type, a third region 8b of the first conductivity (n) type, which is adjacent the second region 1 and **separated from the first region 8a only by the second region 1**, and a fourth region 12b2 of the first conductivity (n) type which is separated from the second region 1 by the third region 8b, the fourth region 12b2 has a higher doping concentration (n+) than the third region 8b (which is n-), the first 8a, the second 1, and the fourth 12b2 regions being provided with a terminal (said terminal may be either electrode 18a or electrode 18b), wherein the third region 8b is provided with a protection zone 12b1 of the first conductivity (n) type

Art Unit: 2826

having a higher doping concentration (n+) than the third region 8b (which is n-), which protection zone 12b1 is separated from the second region 1 by the third region 8b and is situated near the fourth region 12b2 and around the fourth region, and separated from said fourth region 12b2 by an intermediate, comparatively high-impedance region, wherein the third region 8b and the fourth region 12b2 form, respectively, a drift region and a drain region of a lateral DMOS transistor. Note figures 2A and 2B of Isohata et al. Note that because of statements found in the application on page 6 lines 20 and 32-34, it is understood that applicant does not intend the claim term "around" to mean a literal enclosure

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Isohata et al. (JP 10321842 A) in view of Wondrak et al. (5,578,859).

Isohata et al. discloses a semiconductor device comprising a semiconductor body having a **first region 8a** of a first conductivity (n) type and, **adjoining thereto**, a second region 1 of the second, opposite (p), conductivity type, a third region 8b of the first conductivity (n) type, which is adjacent the second region 1 and **separated from the first**

Art Unit: 2826

region 8a only by the second region 1, and a fourth region 12b2 of the first conductivity (n) type which is separated from the second region 1 by the third region 8b, the fourth region 12b2 has a higher doping concentration (n+) than the third region 8b (which is n-), the first 8a, the second 1, and the fourth 12b2 regions being provided with a terminal (said terminal may be either electrode 18a or electrode 18b), wherein the third region 8b is provided with a protection zone 12b1 of the first conductivity (n) type having a higher doping concentration (n+) than the third region 8b (which is n-), which protection zone 12b1 is separated from the second region 1 by the third region 8b and is situated near the fourth region 12b2 and around the fourth region, and separated from said fourth region 12b2 by an intermediate, comparatively high-impedance region. Note figures 2A and 2B of Isohata et al. Note that because of statements found in the application on page 6 lines 20 and 32-34, it is understood that applicant does not intend the claim term "around" to mean a literal enclosure.

Isohata et al. does not disclose that the device is of the RESURF type, wherein the product of the thickness and the doping concentration of the third region is approximately 10¹² atoms per cm².

However, Wondrak et al. discloses a semiconductor device with a third region of the first conductivity type, which is adjacent the second region and separated from the first region by the second region, that forms a drift region of a Lateral DMOS transistor, where the device is of the RESURF type, and therefore the product of the thickness and the doping concentration of the third region meets the well known RESURF criterion of

Art Unit: 2826

being approximately 10¹² atoms per cm². Note column 2 lines 30-37 of Wondrak et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having skill in the art to replace the third region of the first conductivity type of Isohata et al.'s semiconductor device with the third region of the first conductivity type, which is adjacent the second region and separated from the first region by the second region, that forms a drift region of a Lateral DMOS transistor, where the device is of the RESURF type, and therefore the product of the thickness and the doping concentration of the third region meets the well known RESURF criterion of being approximately 10¹² atoms per cm², such as taught by Wondrak et al. in order to reduce the surface field of the lateral DMOS to thus provide a better breakdown voltage.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant has amended claims 6 and 8 to require new relationships between the first and second regions (second region <u>adjoining</u> to the first region) and between the first and third region (first and third regions separated <u>only</u> by the second region). In response to applicant's amendment, new grounds of rejection are cited above. The

Art Unit: 2826

grounds of rejection are new, it is by coincidence that the art relied upon has been previously cited. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6 and 8 have been considered but are moot in view of these new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas L Dickey whose telephone number is 703-308-0980. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8-6.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to

Art Unit: 2826

Thomas L Dickey whose telephone number is 703-308-0980. The examiner can nor-

mally be reached on Mon-Thu 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's su-

pervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318

for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceed-

ing should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TLD 11/2003

couldn tom

Page 7

Minhloan Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2826